Progress on the Integrating Resources Manual
Report to
PCC BIBCO Operations Committee
May 1, 2003

1. The second draft was submitted to the PCC via the BIBCO Coordinator on April 28, 2003. This draft incorporates many, though not all, of the comments of the PCC SCT and the LC reviewers, Jean Hirons, Judy Kuhagen, and David Reser.

2. Structural changes were made in that the sections of the manual were reordered to reflect suggestions made in the review (see document 1 which highlights these changes in organization). Additionally, the "Discussion Points" label has been removed and the sections renumbered accordingly. Finally, although there was a suggestion that the section on dates of publication and/or sequential designation (see I.9) be incorporated into the section on the publication, distribution area (see I.6), we felt that, since this data is perhaps new for some of the users of this manual, it was important to highlight it in a separate section.

3. Examples have been provided in section I-18. These are incomplete in that not all of them contain subject headings and classification and some also lack comments. Also, the examples for updating loose-leaf integrating resources are still forthcoming.

4. Final guidance is desired from the PCC in several areas of the chapter:

   - I-1 What is an integrating resource?
     See top of p.8

   - I-3 Title and Statement of Responsibility
     See section I.3.2.3.

     Our view is that PCC should include the acronym/initialism as other title information and make an added entry for the acronym? Does PCC agree? Could we suggest an LCRI to cover this?

     See I-3.2.7
     Need an LCRI to reserve the option to include other title information in 245 subfield $b for print updating loose-leaf publications.

   - I-8 Frequency

     See I-8.2.1
     Judy Kuhagen commented that regularity should not be coded for print integrating resources. What is the PCC decision here?

   - I-11 Notes

See I-11.2.1

Per Judy Kuhagen, the order of notes in the 5XX block should be in the order prescribed by AACR2. At the PCC SCT meeting at ALA mid-winter, there was a conflicting decision to record such notes in the MARC 21 order as done for CONSER. There needs to be a final PCC decision on this issue.

- I-12 Linking relationships

See I.12.2

LC reviewers suggested an example in cases where linking relationship where per 21.8-21.27, added entries would be needed in addition to the linking note. Can someone please supply such an example?

I-12.2.3

The decision not to use the 530 and 776 in combination is based on LC comments and the recommendations of the PCC/SCT at ALA. PCC/SCT later comments appear to conflict with this decision. We continue to feel that the 776 field is sufficient.

I-15

Re 008 byte 22 (006 byte 5)--Form of original item and 008 byte 23 (006 byte 6)--Form of item. For online material, should both of these bytes be coded "s" (electronic) or just byte 23? Some comments received in review indicated byte 22 should either be left blank or | (no attempt to code), but all of the examples in Steve Miller's presentation use "s" in both bytes.

5. Target Dates

- Comments/suggestions to Alice Jacobs or Diane Boehr by COB 5/23/2003
- Final revision by ALA Annual June 2003